

27th April 1960]

கொள்ள அவர்களுக்கு உரிமை உண்டு. சர்க்காரே அந்தக் கேஸில் ஒரு கட்சியாக இருக்குமானால், அப்பொழுது அவர் எதிர்ப் கட்சிக்கு ஆஜராக முடியாது. அதுதான் இப்போது இருக்கக்கூடியது.

**SRI N. K. PALANISAMI :** அட்வகேட்-ஜெனரலுக்கு மூவாயிரம் ரூபாய் மாதச் சம்பளம் கொடுக்கும்போது தனிப்பட்ட முறையில் அவர் கேஸ் நடத்த அனுமதிக்கப்பட்டால் கவர்ன்மென்ட் ஸைடில் உள்ளவர் என்ற முறையில் நியாயம் ஏற்படுவதில் பார்ஷியாலிடி வராதா ?

**THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :** அட்வகேட்-ஜெனரல் என்றும் அவர் வக்கீல் என்ற முறையில் எடுத்துச் சொல்லுகிறார். கடைசியில் எது நியாயம் என்பதைத் தீர்மானிக்க வேண்டியது ஜட்ஜின் பொறுப்பு. வக்கீல் வாதம் பண்ணுவதற்கும் ஜட்ஜ் நியாயம் வழங்குவதற்கும் என்ன இருக்கிறது என்று எனக்குத் தெரியவில்லை.

**SRI R. SRINIVASA IYER :** Sir, is it not a fact that the Attorney-General of India (Sri Setalvad) is also appearing in private cases, of course, when the interests of the Government are not involved ?

**THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :** That is the case. The Attorney-General is in the same position as the Advocate-General. The Advocate-General is for the State and the Attorney-General is for the Union.

*Kanyakumari district High Schools*

\* 831 Q.—**SRI T. S. RAMASWAMY :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Education Department has any system to compare the efficiency of different High Schools in the State in terms of results ;

(b) if so, what ;

(c) whether it is a fact that the percentage of results in the Kanyakumari district High Schools has gone down considerably after the Reorganization of States ;

(d) if so, the reasons therefor ;

(e) the steps taken to improve the standards of the High Schools in the Kanyakumari district ;

(f) whether there are figures of results, School-wise ; and

(g) if so, the percentage of results in the Kanyakumari district for the last three years ?

**THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :** (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c), (d), (f) & (g) The schoolwise and Districtwise results of the years prior to 1959 when the examination was conducted by the Kerala State are not available.

(e) With reference to the results of the examination held in March 1959, instructions have been issued to the District Educational Officer, Kanyakumari, to visit the schools which did not produce satisfactory results and discuss ways of improving performance with the staff. This is generally done in respect of all schools which have produced poor results.

[27th April 1960]

**SRI T. S. RAMASWAMI:** May I know, Sir, whether this is due to the shift system? Boys who are now appearing for the S.S.L.C. Examination have been given education in the first four classes under the shift system. Is it because of this that the percentage is so low? If it is so, what action do the Government propose to take for giving them further instructions?

**THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:** As far as the shift system is concerned, I have already stated once before that it is under the consideration of the Government whether the shift system should be removed and the system prevalent in other parts of the State should be brought into effect in that area also. Only in March 1959, the first batch of students appeared for the Madras S.S.L.C. Examination. Therefore, we have to find out what are the reasons.

**SRI T. S. RAMASWAMI:** Sir, the percentage of results has fallen considerably during the first examination after reorganization. What steps are the Government going to take to see that it is raised?

**THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:** As I have already stated, March 1959 was the first examination. I do agree that the results were very poor—four or five per cent. I do not know whether there is any difference between the educational standards of the Kerala Government and the Madras Government which resulted in such a large number of failures. Anyhow, we are aware of it, and we are trying to rectify it.

**SRI T. T. DANIEL:** May I know, Sir, whether the Government have conducted an enquiry to find out the reasons for such poor results in that territory? If not, will the Government take steps to instruct the Director of Public Instruction to go into the question and submit the reasons for such poor results?

**THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:** That is what I said. We have instructed the District Educational Officer to go into this matter.

**SRI T. S. RAMASWAMI:** In answer to a previous question, the Government replied that there was no schoolwise percentage of results kept in the department. If the schoolwise percentage of results is not available with the department, how is the department able to gauge the relative merits of the schools? How are they going to encourage the headmasters and teaching staff of better schools?

**THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:** We have got school-war figures for 1959. For the previous years when the examinations were conducted by the Kerala Government, we do not have the figures. This is the difficulty. As far as 1959 is concerned, we have got the figures. It is only on that basis we are taking action.

### *Third Five-Year Plan*

\* 332 Q.—**SRI A. GOVINDASAMY** (on behalf of **SRI M. P. SARATHI**): கனம் நிதி அமைச்சர் அவர்கள் தயவு செய்து கீழ்க்கண்ட கேள்விகளுக்குப் பதில் அளிப்பாரா—

(அ) மூன்றாவது ஐந்தாண்டு திட்ட அளவை உயர்த்துவது பற்றி அரசாங்கத்துக்கு சுற்றறிக்கை ஏதேனும் மத்திய திட்டக் குழுவின் மிகுந்து வந்ததா?